City of Fredericksburg

REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA
MONDAY, OCTOBER 19, 2020 ~ 6 P.M.
UNIVERSITY CENTER-HEB ROOM 2818 US 290
FREDERICKSBURG, TEXAS AND VIA ZOOM

Gary Neffendorf, Mayor
Tom Musselman, Councilmember
Bobby Watson, Councilmember

Charlie Kiehne, Councilmember
Polly Rickert, Councilmember
Kent Myers, City Manager

The City of Fredericksburg City Council will meet in a regular session on Monday, October 19, 2020, at 6 p.m. This meeting will be held in person and via Zoom Teleconferencing (see details below).

Members of the public may attend in person at the address above, or remotely using one of the following:

Computer
Join Zoom Meeting
https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89518020428?pwd=U1RsSmI4OUuelkxWHJaejBxMGh4QT09
Meeting ID: 895 1802 0428
Passcode: 913713

Phone
One tap mobile
+13462487799,,89518020428# US (Houston)
+12532158782,,89518020428# US (Tacoma)

Dial by your location
877 853 5247 US Toll-free
888 788 0099 US Toll-free
Meeting ID: 895 1802 0428
Find your local number: https://us02web.zoom.us/u/kdCzyTPJUx

You may also access this meeting through livestream on the City of Fredericksburg’s Facebook page.

Audio of this meeting will be recorded and uploaded to the City website following the conclusion of the meeting.

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. UPDATE ON CORONAVIRUS (COVID-19) PANDEMIC
3. EMPLOYEE RECOGNITIONS
4. PUBLIC COMMENTS
During the COVID-19 pandemic, the City of Fredericksburg is allowing written or verbal public comments:

**Written Comments:** to be submitted remotely:
1. Must be received by 3 p.m. on October 19, 2020
2. Complete the Citizen Comment Form online at www.fbgtx.org; or
3. Email your comments to sgoodwin@fbgtx.org; or
4. Complete a Citizen Comment Form located inside the Public Access entrance at 126 W. Main Street, Fredericksburg, Texas, and place in the box marked Citizen Comment Form.

Copies of the submitted comments will be provided to the City Council and made public on the City website under the “October 19, 2020, City Council Regular Meeting” tab.

**Verbal Comments:**
1. Must submit your name, address and contact information to sgoodwin@fbgtx.com by 3 p.m. on October 19, 2020.
2. Please log on to the meeting 15 minutes early.
3. Set your name in the ID on the Zoom Meeting
4. Wait for your name to be called.
5. OR Sign up between 5:30 p.m. and 6 p.m. at the University Center for in-person comments.
6. You will be limited to 3 minutes to speak.

5. **PUBLIC HEARING**
   A. Hold a public hearing to receive comments from the public for or against a Conditional Use Permit for Medical Services (Dental Office) in the CBD Zoning District at 520 W. Main Street request by Zachary Hoerster, DDS (Z-2014).
      (Agenda Packet Pages 5-14)

   B. Hold a public hearing to receive comments from the public for or against an All-Way Stop at the Intersection of N. Milam & W. Austin.
      (Agenda Packet Pages 15-26)

6. **OTHER ACTION ITEMS AND UPDATES**
   A. Consider the approval a Conditional Use Permit for Medical Services (Dental Office) in the CBD Zoning District at 520 W. Main Street request by Zachary Hoerster, DDS (Z-2014).
      (Agenda Packet Pages 5-14)

   B. Consider the approval of the Landmark Designation Application requested by the City of Fredericksburg for Fort Martin Scott.
      (Agenda Packet Pages 27-46)

   C. Consider discussion and take appropriate action regarding an All-Way Stop at the Intersection of N. Milam & W. Austin.
      (Agenda Packet Pages 15-26)

   D. Consider discussion and take appropriate action regarding the current Moratorium on Special Events and Outdoor Gatherings of 75 or more.
      (Agenda Packet Pages 47-48)
E. Consider discussion and take appropriate action regarding Heritage School’s Eisbahn Fundraiser to begin in November 2020 and end January 2021.
(Agenda Packet Pages 49-52)

7. CITY MANAGER’S REPORT
   A. Short-Term Rental (STR) Complaints
   
   B. New Year’s Eve Celebration
   
   C. Election Update-Announce scheduled dates for Canvassing of Election Results and Swearing In New Mayor and Council Members
   
   D. 2024 Eclipse Event

8. ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDA
   (Agenda Packet Pages 53-54)

9. COUNCIL COMMENTS
   Reports about items of community interest, which no action will be taken.

10. EXECUTIVE SESSION
    The City Council will recess its open meeting and reconvene in Executive Session pursuant to Texas Government Code Section 551.072 (Deliberation Regarding Real Property):
        A. Consider and discuss the purchase, exchange, lease, or value of real property, located in the vicinity of US 290 E at the Pedernales River crossing, approximately two miles to the east of the City of Fredericksburg (Sec. 551.072).

11. BUSINESS ITEM
    The City Council will reconvene into Regular Session upon the conclusion of the Executive Session; the City Council may take action on any item posted in Executive Session, as necessary.

12. ADJOURN
    This is to certify that I, Shelley Goodwin, posted this Agenda at 5:30 p.m. on October 14, 2020, at the entrance and on the bulletin board of the City of Fredericksburg City Hall, 126 W. Main St., Fredericksburg, Texas.

[Signature]
Shelley Goodwin, TRMC
City Secretary
CITY COUNCIL MEMO

DATE: October 19, 2020
TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Brian Jordan, AICP

SUBJECT: Public Hearing to consider Conditional Use Permit for Medical Services (Dental Office) in the CDD Zoning District at 520 w. Main Street

Summary:
The applicant intends to reconfigure the space within the building at 520 W. Main Street to incorporate a dental office and professional office. The existing building contains approximately 2928 square feet. The proposed dentist office will contain 2,179 square feet and the professional office will be 749 square feet. Section 3.220 of the Zoning Ordinance requires a Conditional Use Permit for the proposed Medical Service use. The site is approximately 0.46 acres. Access to the property is from W. Main Street and is shared with the adjoining property. Parking spaces are provided on the east side of the building and to the rear of the building. The surrounding zoning is R-2 to the north and CBD to the east, south and west. Surrounding land uses include residential to the north, and commercial to the east, south and west.

Recommendation:
The Planning and Zoning Commission voted unanimously to recommend approval of this request. Staff has received one letter in support and none in opposition of this request. Staff concurs with the recommendation of the commission.

Background / Analysis:

The City of Fredericksburg
126 W. Main St. • Fredericksburg, Texas 78624-3708 • (830) 997-7521 • Fax (830) 997-1861
See the attached Conditional Use Permit Brief for review and evaluation of the proposed use.

**Attachments:**

Zoning Map of Area, Site plan, surrounding property owner list

---

**Department Approval**

**City Manager Approval**

---

**City Attorney Approval**

---

The City of Fredericksburg

126 W. Main St. • Fredericksburg, Texas 78624-3708 • (830) 997-7521 • Fax (830) 997-1861
Project Application for Conditional Use Permit
Project Narrative
Hoerster Family Dentistry
520 West Main Street

The existing building was constructed in 1951 as a residence. In 1995 the property was purchased by the Hoerster family and converted into law offices for Richard “Dick” Hoerster, Attorney. The building is currently the law office of Dick Hoerster’s son, Richard “Rick” Hoerster, Attorney. Rick’s son Zachary opened his thriving dentist office a few years ago in rental property at 205 N. Milam Street. The goal is for Zach’s business, Hoerster Family Dentistry, to move into the Hoerster property at 520 West Main.

The property is zoned CBD and a Conditional Use Permit is required for a medical office in CBD. Plans are to remodel 2179 sf as dentist treatment rooms, offices and support spaces. Rick Hoerster plans to remodel 749 sf as his office space with reception, conference room and office.

The exterior of the existing building will remain intact with only a new entrance for the dental office created at the SE corner and a new entrance for the law office near the back NE corner. We also plan to replace the existing steel casement windows with new energy efficient window units. The site is large enough to provide parking in excess of code requirements.

Respectfully,
Barry A. Wagner AIA
508 N. Milam
Fredericksburg, Texas 78624
O: 830-997-9525
C: 830-456-7484
CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT BRIEF
Request Z-2014

Applicant: Barry Wagner, AIA on behalf of Zachary Hoerster DDS and Andria Hoerster
Location: 520 W. Main Street
Existing Zoning: CBD, Central Business District
Request: Conditional Use Permit for Medical Services (Dental Office) in the CBD Zoning District

Site Plan Overview:

- The applicant intends to reconfigure the space within the building at 520 W. Main Street to incorporate a dental office and professional office.
- The existing building contains approximately 2928 square feet. The proposed dentist office will contain 2,179 square feet and the professional office will be 749 square feet.
- Section 3.220 of the Zoning Ordinance requires a Conditional Use Permit for the proposed Medical Service use.
- The site is approximately 0.46 acres.
- Access to the property is from W. Main Street and is shared with the adjoining property.
- Parking spaces are provided on the east side of the building and to the rear of the building.
- The surrounding zoning is R-2 to the north and CBD to the east, south and west.
- Surrounding land uses include residential to the north, and commercial to the east, south and west.

Review and Evaluation Criteria:

A. CONFORMANCE WITH APPLICABLE REGULATIONS:
   - The site complies with current regulations.

B. COMPATIBILITY WITH EXISTING OR PERMITTED USES IN ABUTTING SITES:
   - The existing use of the property has been established for several years and we do not expect the proposed dental office to have an effect on adjacent properties.

C. POTENTIALLY UNFAVORABLE EFFECTS OR IMPACTS ON OTHER EXISTING OR PERMITTED USES ON ABUTTING PROPERTY:
   - We do not anticipate unfavorable effects on adjoining properties from this use.

D. MODIFICATIONS TO THE SITE PLAN WHICH WOULD RESULT IN INCREASED COMPATIBILITY AND WOULD MITIGATE POTENTIALLY UNFAVORABLE IMPACTS:
   - None.
E. SAFETY AND CONVENIENCE OF VEHICULAR AND PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION IN THE VICINITY:
   • Drive access into this property will remain the same and is shared with the adjoining property.

F. PROTECTION OF PERSONS AND PROPERTY FROM EROSION, FLOOD OR WATER DAMAGE, FIRE, NOISE, GLARE:
   • NA

G. LOCATION OF LIGHTING AND TYPE OF SIGNS; THE RELATION OF SIGNS TO TRAFFIC CONTROL AND ADVERSE EFFECTS OF SIGNS ON ADJACENT PROPERTIES:
   • No specific detail provided on exterior lighting. All exterior lighting shall be shielded and ordinance compliant.

H. ADEQUACY AND CONVENIENCE OF OFF-STREET PARKING AND LOADING FACILITIES:
   • Sufficient parking is provided based on the code. The parking spaces shown to the rear of the building are not compliant with current City standards. The applicant has stated these parking spaces will comply with City standards.

I. DETERMINATION THAT THE PROPOSED USE IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OBJECTIVES OF THESE ZONING REGULATIONS AND PURPOSES OF THE ZONE IN WHICH THE SITE IS LOCATED:
   • Affirmative.

J. DETERMINATION THAT THE PROPOSED USE WILL COMPLY WITH EACH OF THE APPLICABLE PROVISIONS OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE:
   • Affirmative.

K. DETERMINATION THAT THE PROPOSED USE AND SITE DEVELOPMENT, TOGETHER WITH ANY MODIFICATIONS APPLICABLE, THERETO, WILL BE COMPATIBLE WITH EXISTING OR PERMITTED USES IN THE VICINITY:
   • Affirmative.
L. DETERMINATION THAT ANY CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO APPROVAL ARE
THE MINIMUM NECESSARY TO MINIMIZE POTENTIALLY UNFAVORABLE
IMPACTS ON NEARBY USES AND TO ENSURE COMPATIBILITY OF THE
PROPOSED USE WITH EXISTING OR PERMITTED USES IN THE SAME DISTRICT
AND THE SURROUNDING AREA:

- No additional changes are recommended.

M. DETERMINATION THAT THE PROPOSED USE TOGETHER WITH THE
CONDITIONS APPLICABLE THERETO, WILL NOT BE DETRIMENTAL TO THE
PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, OR WELFARE OR MATERALLY INJURIOUS TO
PROPERTIES OR IMPROVEMENTS IN THE VICINITY:

- No additional changes are recommended.

OPPOSITION/SUPPORT OF REQUEST: One letter in support, none in opposition.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION: The Commission voted
unanimously to recommend approval, conditioned upon:

1. All parking spaces meeting current City standards.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Staff concurs with the Commission’s recommendation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Letter</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>SUBJECT PROPERTY</td>
<td>520 W. MAIN FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RICHARD HOERSTER</td>
<td>519 W. MAIN FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RAMONA CANCINO</td>
<td>519 W. MAIN FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BECKYS JUICERIE LLC</td>
<td>243 EUM LN FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>REBECCAS RENTAL LLC</td>
<td>243 EUM LN FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>REBECCAS RENTAL LLC</td>
<td>519 W. MAIN FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>511 ALMOST HOME INN LLC</td>
<td>250 GRANDVIEW DR STE 400 FT MITCHELL, KY 41017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SHEILA WRIGHT</td>
<td>513 W. AUSTIN FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>PATE REAL ESTATE LTD</td>
<td>P.O. BOX 749 CORPUS CHRISTI, TX 78403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RDM PROPERTIES LLC</td>
<td>116 RIDGEWOOD DR FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>ALAN &amp; CONCEPCION GRODECKI</td>
<td>1726 FOREST LAKE DR SUGAR LAND, TX 77479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>DJ 475 LLC</td>
<td>590 CIRCLE DR DENVER, CO 80206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>RUDOLF KROCHMANN MANAGEMENT TRUST</td>
<td>901 MAIN ST 19TH FLOOR TX4-492-19-10 DALLAS, TX 77502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>ANLAGE LLC</td>
<td>206 N. BOWIE FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>DONNA ATWOOD</td>
<td>P.O. BOX 2295 FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>REBECCAS RENTAL LLC</td>
<td>243 EUM LN FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>CLARA HARRISON</td>
<td>11202 W US HWY 290 HARPER, TX 78331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>WINE COUNTRY COTTAGES LLC</td>
<td>409 E. MAIN ST LLANO, TX 78643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>IDA MAE CREWELGES</td>
<td>211 GLENMOOR DR FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>REBECCAS RENTAL LLC</td>
<td>243 EUM LN FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>RAMONA CANCINO</td>
<td>519 W. MAIN FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>STROEHER &amp; OLFFERS PROPERTIES</td>
<td>509 S. ADAMS FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>BEHREND GARAGE INC</td>
<td>P.O. BOX 191 FREDERICKSBURG, TX 78624</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Z-2014 - 520 W. Main
CITY COUNCIL MEMO

DATE: October 19, 2020

TO: Mayor and City Council

FROM: Garret Bonn, P.E., CFM - Assistant City Engineer

SUBJECT: Public Hearing, Discussion, and Possible Action Regarding All-Way Stops at the Intersection of N. Milam (RR 965) and W. Austin.

Summary:

This item is for the discussion and possible action regarding the conversion of the intersection of N. Milam (RR 965) and W. Austin from a two-way stop intersection to an all-way stop intersection based on conclusions and recommendations included in a warrant study that was part of the Transportation Project Traffic Impact Study completed by Kimley-Horn and Associates.

Recommendation:

City staff has reviewed the warrant study prepared by Kimley-Horn and Associates and agrees that a multi-way stop is warranted to improve safety and efficiency at the intersection of N. Milam and W. Austin.

Background / Analysis:

In response to increased traffic and public safety concerns, the City’s traffic consultant for the citywide Traffic Impact Study, Kimley-Horn & Associates, completed a multi-way stop warrant analysis of the intersection and found that an all-way stop is warranted. In summary, these findings showed that an all-way stop condition is warranted based on traffic volumes, delays, and public safety issues (crash data) including 5 correctable crashes that occurred in 2019.
Chapter 44, Article IV, Section 44-108 of the City of Fredericksburg Code of Ordinances gives the traffic engineer of the City of Fredericksburg the authority to install traffic control devices whenever and wherever the condition of traffic, the frequency of passage of vehicles or pedestrians, or both, the direction and volume of the flow of traffic, the dimensions and conditions of the streets and sidewalks, and the use of property abutting the streets are such that the traffic engineer finds it to be necessary for the free flow and the expeditious handling of traffic and the safety of persons and property. All traffic-control devices including signs, signals, and markings (pavement and/or curb) installed or used for the purpose of directing and controlling traffic within the city must conform to the "Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (TMUTCD)." All signs, signals and markings erected or used by the City of Fredericksburg must be uniform and be located as far as practicable according to the directions shown in the manual throughout the city. A list of all signs, signals, and markings erected or in use in the city are kept on file in the office of the City secretary.

N. Milam (RR 965) is a State-maintained roadway so the proposed changes have been presented to TxDOT. Both the Burnet Area Office and Traffic Operations Office in Austin have given their approval of the changes and have indicated they will monitor traffic conditions at the nearby intersection of Milam and Main St. to determine if traffic signal timing adjustments are necessary after the installation of the all-way stops.

If authorized by Council, the City’s Street Department is prepared to convert the intersection from a two-way stop to an all-way stop condition and will utilize the City’s portable message boards to inform the traveling public of the revised traffic conditions. In addition, edge-lit stop signs with flashing beacons will be used to increase visibility of the new signage, similar to installations at intersections along S. Milam.

Attachments:

Aerial Exhibit
All-Way Stop Warrant Study – Excludes Traffic Count Tables

[Signatures]

Department Approval
City Manager Approval
City Attorney Approval

The City of Fredericksburg
126 W. Main St. • Fredericksburg, Texas 78624-3708 • (830) 997-7521 • Fax (830) 997-1861
This memorandum documents the results of a traffic signal warrant study and an all-way stop control study for the intersection of Milam St with Austin St in the City of Fredericksburg, Texas. This study was conducted in accordance with methodology found in Chapter 4 of the 2011 Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (TMUTCD).

**Existing Conditions**

Milam St is a two-lane minor arterial with two-way left turn lane with a posted speed limit of 40 mph. Milam St runs in a general North-South direction. Milam St is divided by a two-way left-turn lane which turns into left turn bays, on both the NB and SB approaches, at the intersection with Austin St.

Austin St is a two-lane undivided collector, providing connectivity behind Main St to businesses and residences. It is posted at 30 mph. Austin St runs in a general East-West direction. At the intersection with Milam St, Austin St has no lane markings, medians, or channelization.

Milam St is also known as FM 965 and is an arterial street which provides connection from Main Street in Fredericksburg northward until it connects with SH 16 N about 21 miles northeast of Fredericksburg. As an arterial, this roadway is designed to move large volumes of traffic.

Austin St is a collector road. Collector streets generally provide for the transition between higher traffic volumes and speeds on arterials to the lower speeds and traffic of local streets. Austin St connects the back side of many businesses off Main St to several residences.

**Data Collection**

Bi-directional (24-hour) counts were collected at the intersection for a week beginning December 13, 2019. The volumes most applicable to the warrant were recorded Tuesday, December 17, 2019. These counts show that Milam St carries 9,595 vehicles per day and Austin St carries 2,080 vehicles per day. Traffic count data has been included in the **Appendix**.
**Figure 1** is a vicinity map that shows the general location of the intersection.

![Figure 1 - Vicinity Map](image)

While performing a safety study, it was discovered that Milam at Austin had unusually high crash activity. Engineering judgement deemed that providing a multi-way stop at this intersection may reduce crash activity and improve intersection performance.

**Multi-Way Stop Warrant Study Procedure**

The *Texas Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices* (Texas MUTCD, 2011 Edition) defines guidance for four (4) criteria to be considered in the engineering study of a multi-way stop sign installation. Factors included in the evaluation of these criteria include vehicle and pedestrian traffic volumes, the prevailing traffic speeds, traffic crash history, and measured delay for minor street traffic. The four criteria are listed below, followed by a short description of each.

- Criterion A, Interim measure for traffic control signals
- Criterion B, Crash experience
- Criterion C, Minimum volumes
- Criterion D, Multiple criteria at 80%

Criterion A, Interim measure for traffic control signals – this criterion is applied when a traffic control signal for an intersection has been justified but not yet installed. It is a temporary measure.
Criterion B. Crash experience – requires that five or more reported crashes, correctible by a multi-way stop, to have occurred in the last 12 months. It is important to note that certain types of accidents, such as rear ends, are not reduced when a multi-way stop is installed. There are minimum volume requirements for vehicles or pedestrians as well.

Criterion C. Minimum volumes – this criterion is applied to the eight highest demand hours of the day for the major and minor street. A reduction factor of may be applied to bring volumes to 70% when major street speeds exceed 40 mph. Minor street traffic must experience a minimum delay threshold.

Criterion D. Multiple criteria at 80% - requires that Criterion B, Criterion C.1 (volumes at 100%), and Criterion C.2 (minimum minor street delay) are all simultaneously satisfied at 80% of their minimum values.

**Multi-Way Stop Warrant Analysis**

An existing conditions analysis was completed to determine whether the current conditions warrant a multi-way stop. The results are listed below:

Criterion A. Interim measure for traffic control signals – **Warrant Not Met for Traffic Signal**
Criterion B. Crash experience – 5 correctable accidents in 2019 – **Warrant Met**
Criterion C. Minimum volumes – Met for 2 hours – **Warrant Not Met**
Criterion D. Multiple criteria at 80% – Met for 8 hours – **Warrant Met**

The warrant analysis worksheet is included in the Appendix.

**Multi-Way Stop Conclusion**

The 2011 Edition of the TMUTCD contains four possible criteria for traffic signals. The intersection currently meets two of the three applicable criteria. Based on the criteria and engineering judgment, a multi-way stop is warranted at this time for the intersection of Milam St and Austin St. The proposed layout is shown below in Figure 2.

![Figure 2: Proposed Intersection Control](image-url)
ENGINEERING STUDY FOR MULTI-WAY STOP SIGNS
(2011r2 Texas MUTCD Chapter 2B.07)

LOCATION:

Major Road: Milam St  Road Index No.: FM 965  Speed Limit: 40 MPH

Minor Road: Austin St  Road Index No.:  Speed Limit: 30 MPH

Support:

Multi-way stop control can be useful as a safety measure at intersections if certain traffic conditions exist. Safety concerns associated with multi-way stops include pedestrians, bicyclists, and all road users expecting other road users to stop. Multi-way stop control is used where the volume of traffic on the intersecting roads is approximately equal.

The restrictions on the use of STOP signs described in TxDOT Section 2B.05 ("STOP Sign Applications") also apply to multi-way stop applications.

Guidance:

The following criteria should be considered for a multi-way STOP sign installation:

**Criterion 1A** – Where traffic control signals are justified, the multi-way stop is an interim measure that can be installed quickly to control traffic while arrangements are being made for the installation of the traffic control signal.

☐ IS MET  ☒ IS NOT MET

Comments: This location does not meet traffic signal warrants, so it cannot be an interim measure.

**Criterion 1B** – Five or more reported crashes in a 12-month period that are susceptible to correction by a multi-way stop installation. Such crashes include right-turn and left-turn collisions as well as right-angle collisions.

☒ IS MET  ☐ IS NOT MET

A review of the 12 month period ending 12/31/2019 indicated five (5) such crashes

(date)  (number)

Comments: Five total multi-way stop-correctable crashes occurred in 2019 at this intersection

**Criterion 1C** – Minimum volumes:

1C.1 – Speeds at or below 40 mph

1C.1 – The vehicular volume entering the intersection from the major-street approaches (total of both approaches) averages at least 300 vehicles per hour for any 8 hours of an average day,

☒ IS MET  ☐ IS NOT MET

Average major-street volume: 753 vehicles per hour (300 vph min.)

Comments: Major street volumes at this location would meet warrant for 14 hours of the day...

AND...

1C.2 – The combined vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle volume entering the intersection from the minor street approaches (total of both approaches) averages at least 200 units per hour for the same 8 hours, with an average delay to minor-street vehicular traffic of at least 30 seconds per vehicle during the highest hour.

☐ IS MET  ☒ IS NOT MET

Combined minor-street vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle volume: 192 units/hour (200 uph min.).
Average minor-street delay, peak hour: ___30___ seconds per vehicle (30 sec./veh. minimum).

Comments: _Minor street volumes are close to the threshold, but do not pass it._

OR...

1C.3 – (Speeds ABOVE 40 mph) If the 85th-percentile approach speed of the major-street traffic exceeds 40 mph, the minimum vehicular volume warrants are 70 percent of the above values.

☐ IS MET  ☒ IS NOT MET

Average major-street volume: _________ (210 vehicles per hour minimum), or
Combined minor-street vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle volume: _________ (140 units per hour with at least 30 seconds per vehicle delay).

Comments:

Criteria for 1C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1C.1 is met AND 1C.2 is met</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Criterion 1C  ☒ IS MET  ☐ IS NOT MET

Criterion 1D – Where no single criterion is satisfied, but where Criteria 1B, 1C.1, and 1C.2 are all satisfied to 80 percent of the minimum values. Criterion 1C.3 is excluded from this condition.

80% of Criterion 1B (4 correctable crashes, min.):  ☒ IS SATISFIED  ☐ IS NOT SATISFIED
80% of Criterion 1C.1 (at least 240 vph):  ☒ IS SATISFIED  ☐ IS NOT SATISFIED
80% of Criterion 1C.2 (at least 160 units per hour):  ☒ IS SATISFIED  ☐ IS NOT SATISFIED

Comments: _Criterion 1C.2 is met at 80%, and the other two were met even at 100%._

Criterion 1D  ☒ IS MET  ☐ IS NOT MET

### Volume – Eight Highest Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hour Beginning:</th>
<th>10:00</th>
<th>11:00</th>
<th>12:00</th>
<th>13:00</th>
<th>14:00</th>
<th>15:00</th>
<th>16:00</th>
<th>17:00</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major St. Volume (both approaches)</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor St. Volume (Veh., ped. &amp; bikes, both approaches)</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option:**

Other criteria that may be considered include:

| A. The need to control left-turn conflicts. | ☒ APPLIES | ☐ DOES NOT APPLY |
| B. The need to control vehicle/pedestrian conflicts near locations that generate high pedestrian volumes. | ☐ APPLIES | ☒ DOES NOT APPLY |
| C. Locations where a road user, after stopping, cannot see conflicting traffic and is not able to safely negotiate the intersection unless conflicting cross traffic is also required to stop. | ☐ APPLIES | ☒ DOES NOT APPLY |
| D. An intersection of two residential neighborhood collector (through) streets of similar design and operating characteristics. | ☐ APPLIES | ☒ DOES NOT APPLY |
Comments: Left-turn collisions are one of the most common collision types at this intersection

Summary and Conclusion:

Primary Criteria Met:
- Criterion 1A: □ IS MET  ☒ IS NOT MET
- Criterion 1B: ☒ IS MET  □ IS NOT MET
- Criterion 1C: □ IS MET  □ IS NOT MET
- Criterion 1D: □ IS MET  □ IS NOT MET

Other Criteria Considered:
- A – Left-turn conflicts: ☒ APPLIES  □ DOES NOT APPLY
- B – Pedestrian conflicts: □ APPLIES  ☒ DOES NOT APPLY
- C – Sight distance: □ APPLIES  ☒ DOES NOT APPLY
- D – Residential collector: □ APPLIES  ☒ DOES NOT APPLY

Based on the analysis of this information, it is my engineering judgment that a multi-way stop sign ☒ SHOULD ☐ SHOULD NOT be installed at the intersection of

Milam St (major road) and Austin St (minor road)

Comments: Warrant is met with both Criterion 1B and Criterion 1D.

Study By: Kimley-Horn  Engineer’s Name: R. Jake Gutekunst

Engineer’s Signature: __________________________ Date: 1/24/2020
Appendix A: Signal Warrant
## Summary of Warrants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Warrant</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Is Warrant Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>WARRANT 1: Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition A</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition B</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition A&amp;B</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WARRANT 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume</strong></td>
<td>(100%)</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WARRANT 3: Peak-Hour Vehicular Volume</strong></td>
<td>(100%)</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition A</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition B</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WARRANT 4: Pedestrian Volume</strong></td>
<td>(100%)</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Hour</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Hour</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WARRANT 5: School Crossing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WARRANT 6: Coordinated Signal System</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WARRANT 7: Crash Experience</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition A</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition B</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WARRANT 8: Roadway Network</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WARRANT 9: Intersection Near a Grade Crossing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Issue to Be Addressed by Signalization:**

Reduce stop delay at intersection during peak weekday hours and mitigate safety issues correctible by a traffic signal.
CITY COUNCIL MEMO

DATE: October 14, 2020
TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Anna Hudson, Historic Preservation Officer
SUBJECT: Landmark Designation for Fort Martin Scott

Summary:
Fort Martin Scott is owned by the City and has a state historic designation as a State Antiquities Landmark and is also listed on the National Register of Historic Places. Local designation would formalize the relationship between the Parks department and Development Services Department for site management, both in the current management framework and if a future full-time staff person is established.

Recommendation
The Historic Preservation Officer and the Historic Review Board (voted on October 13, 2020) recommend City Council designate Fort Martin Scott as a local historic landmark with a “high” rating. Designation as a local landmark would require all exterior changes, alterations to be approved through the Certificate of Appropriateness application. Minor changes can be approved administratively by staff. Major changes, including new construction or re-construction, would require approval of the Historic Review Board. Park staff has had the practice of sending projects to the Historic Preservation Officer for review and comment. A similar review is required by the Texas Historical Commission. Failure to comply with this process could result in the revocation of the RTHL status. As a Certified Local Government the City of Fredericksburg has the ability to apply for preservation grant funds. The Fort could apply for funding for future projects, as recommended in the 2019 FMS Historic Site Master Plan.

The most significant Resources identified in Fredericksburg Historic Resource Survey are considered to be outstanding, unique, or good examples of architecture, engineering, or design. Some are unique to the Fredericksburg area and are indicative of German-Texan vernacular forms and/or building techniques. Others are noteworthy examples of 19th and early 20th century architectural types, styles,
and forms, erected using local building materials and construction technologies. Properties designated with a high rating are to be the most protected from alteration and demolition.

Staff finds the property meets 6 of the 8 criteria used to evaluate historic significance:

a. **Character, interest, or value as a part of the development, heritage, or cultural characteristics of the city;** association with the early settlement and development of Fredericksburg and westward expansion of Texas as a military outpost.

b. **Location as the site of a significant historical event;** first Gillespie County fair,

c. **Embodiment of distinctive characteristics of an architectural type or specimen;** typical early frontier fort with quadrangle layout, structures built with local building materials

d. **Relationship to other distinctive buildings, sites, districts, or structures which are historically significant;** important development relationship to the town of Fredericksburg

e. **Unique location of singular physical characteristics representing an established and familiar visual feature of a neighborhood, community or the city;** its location on the eastern edge of town and visibility from Hwy 290 make it a distinctive landmark below the bluffs of Barons Creek, the quadrangle form that has been re-created is distinctly military, unlike any other development pattern in Fredericksburg.

f. **Value as an aspect of community sentiment or public pride;** visual reminder of the town’s early military history, family ranching history, and site of first fairgrounds

g. **Identification with a person or persons who significantly contributed to the development or culture of the city, the state or the nation;** Braeutigam family hosted the first county fairs at the site and farmed the land for decades, Captain Seth Eastman established the fort as Fort Houston. Eastman was a well-respected military artist known best for his documentation of native Americans. His sketch of the Vereins Kirche is one of the earliest known drawings of the structure.

h. **Value as protective of a historical resource.** Has yielded and has the potential to yield information relating to the history of the military in Fredericksburg through archaeological excavations.

**Background / Analysis:**

Originally established as a U.S. Army fort in 1848, Fort Martin Scott is also linked to the Texas Rangers and the Braeutigam family farm until 1959 when the City of Fredericksburg (City) purchased it. The historic site contains one original garrison building, which has been restored, one late 1800s old farm shed and three reproduction garrison buildings. The military fort was in operation from 1848 to 1853 by the U.S. Army. Texas Rangers used the site as a camp, both before and after military occupation. During the Civil War, the Confederate States Army occupied the fort for a brief period. Later abandoned and then purchased and used by the Braeutigam family as a farm and site of the first Gillespie County Fair, the property was purchased by the City of Fredericksburg in 1959. Fort Martin Scott is currently operated as a self-guided tour of the historic site.

The fort has been recognized at both the state and national levels. It was listed in the National Register of Historic Places in 1980 under multiple categories: Areas of significance include: Archeology: Prehistoric; Archeology: Historic; Exploration/Settlement; Military. It was designated as a State Antiquities Landmark in 1988 which requires approval for changes from the Texas Historical Commission.

---

The City of Fredericksburg

126 W. Main St. • Fredericksburg, Texas 78624-3708 • (830) 997-7521 • Fax (830) 997-1861
In 2019 the Fort Martin Scott Historic Site Master Plan was completed and made several short and long term recommendations. Local designation would formalize the relationship between the Parks department and Development Services Department for site management, both in the current management framework and if a future full-time staff person is established.

**Attachments:**

1. Map delineating Fort Martin Scott boundary
2. Resolution designating Fort Martin Scott as a local landmark
3. Excerpts from 2019 FMS Historic Site Master Plan including photos and summary of extant buildings

Department Approval

City Manager Approval

City Attorney Approval

The City of Fredericksburg

126 W. Main St. • Fredericksburg, Texas 78624-3708 • (830) 997-7521 • Fax (830) 997-1861
Historic Landmark Designation Application
For creation of HL overlay and designation as local historic landmark
City of Fredericksburg
126 W Main St. Fredericksburg, TX 78264

Subject Property Address: 1606 E Main Street
Date Submitted: 09/30/2020

Legal Description: ____________________________

Proposed landmark/common name: Fort Martin Scott
Owner name: city of Fredericksburg - Parks Department
Owner Address: 126 W Main St

Applicant Name (if different than owner): Historic Preservation Officer
Applicant E-mail: ____________________________
Phone #: 830-997-7521

Provide a description of the architecture of the structure/building, property history, including any people or events associated with the property if applicable, references/sources for your research. The Historic Review Board recommends landmark status to City Council on the basis of historical, architectural, and cultural significance as described in Sec. 23-58. - Designation of historic districts, historic landmarks, and preservation priority rating. Please provide a Statement of Significance for why the property meets the criteria as outlined in the Historic Preservation Ordinance, as indicated above.

Originally established as a U.S. Army fort in 1848, Fort Martin Scott is also linked to the Texas Rangers and was the Braeutigam family farm until 1959 when the City of Fredericksburg (City) purchased it. The historic site contains one original one original garrison building, which has been restored, one late 1800s old farm shed and three reproduction garrison buildings. The property was purchased by the City of Fredericksburg in 1959.

Fort Martin Scott is currently operated as a self-guided tour of the historic site.

Describe any appurtenances on the property and their age/significance (such as windmill or tank house)

extant foundations for multiple buildings, central quadrangle (attach another sheet if necessary)

Attach supporting documentation including: ☑ color photographs ☐ architectural drawings
☐ newspaper articles ☑ other relevant research

Staff to complete

Application #: 20-116
Owner in support of designation: Yes ☺ No ☐
Historic Review Board Meeting Date: October 13, 2020
City Council Meeting Date: October 19, 2020

Year Built: 1847
Zoning: public
HRB Action: recommend
City Council Action: ____________
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Originally established as a U.S. Army fort in 1848, Fort Martin Scott is also linked to the Texas Rangers and was the Braeutigam family farm until 1959 when the City of Fredericksburg (City) purchased it. The site is listed in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and designated a State Antiquities Landmark. The Fort Martin Scott Advisory Board (Advisory Board) oversees the site on behalf of City Council and the Friends of Fort Martin Scott (Friends) organizes special events and provides volunteer hosts to enhance the visitor experience.

Fort Martin Scott is a significant historic site with a complex and compelling history. Physically, the site is stable and in good condition, and management practices are sound. But the City, Advisory Board, and Friends clearly recognize that the site has greater potential. The team of SWCA Environmental Consultants and Asakura Robinson was contracted to create an updated Master Plan that outlines ways to improve the site for both area residents and visitors. The Master Plan begins with a historical overview of Fort Martin Scott, an overview of the administering organization, and a discussion of current goals and objectives for the site. It is then divided into six interconnected area plans. These are the Development Plan, Preservation Plan, Use Plan, Disaster Plan, Interpretive Plan, and Business and Financial Plan.

Specific recommendations for future planning and action are contained in each area plan. These are prioritized and summarized below. Two additional, interrelated plans will also be critical in guiding future work, a Cultural Heritage Tourism Plan and a Long-Range Interpretive Plan.

Short term recommendations (1-2 years)

- Hire a staff person (part time or full time) to manage Fort Martin Scott, facilitate planning and fundraising efforts, coordinate volunteers and events, implement the plan recommendations, and advocate for the needs of the Fort with both the City and the community
- Collect and collate archaeology reports.
- Implement the short-term recommendations of the Preservation Plan.
- Implement the Maintenance Plan (a component of the Preservation Plan).
- Draft and adopt a simple Disaster Plan (a component of the Preservation Plan).
- Develop a process to streamline consultation with Texas Historical Commission (THC), likely by designating the City Historic Preservation Officer (or the site manager if hired) as liaison and point of contact for all work at the site that falls under THC’s purview.
- Create a yearly financial plan to track finances, fundraising needs and efforts, and expenditures.
- Apply for CLG grants and Texas Historic Preservation Trust Fund grants to fund medium-term recommendations like a Historic Structures Report, archaeological work, and a Cultural Heritage Tourism Plan.
- Establish a social media presence to share news, events, and photographs of the site and/or artifacts

Medium term recommendations (3-5 years)

- Collaborate with Texas Rangers Heritage Center (TRHC) during the planning and design of their new museum to integrate the interpretation and promotion of both sites, as possible.
• Draft an overarching Cultural Heritage Tourism Plan by conducting visitor research and using the five-step process outlined by Hargrove (2017). Integrate the recommendations of the six component plans of this Master Plan.

• Prepare a Historic Structures Report (HSR) for the site.

• Using the results of the HSR, update the NRHP nomination for the site.

• Conduct additional archaeological investigations in support of the proposed infrastructure and historic site improvements.

• Implement the medium-term recommendations of the Preservation Plan.

• Develop a Long-Range Interpretive Plan based on the results of the Cultural Heritage Tourism Plan. This should include the use of information collected in the HSR and during archaeological investigations to design ways to expand the story of Fort Martin Scott. It should also involve collaboration with TRHC on educational programming, including lectures, exhibits, and special events, as possible.

• Design and implement a phased fundraising and capital improvements campaign for larger projects.

• Create and implement a marketing plan to support the recommendations of the Cultural Heritage Tourism Plan and Use Plan.

• Improve the Fort’s visitor trail network, integrate it with the (proposed) 3.1-mile recreational hike and bike trail, and restore connectivity with Barons Creek. Use the trail to host existing walk/run/bike events or create new ones.

• Begin reconstruction of historic building foundations.

• Begin research, planning, and fundraising for the reconstruction of selected historic Fort buildings.

Long term recommendations (5-10 years)

• Implement the long-term recommendations of the Preservation Plan.

• Design and install new interpretive signage and displays.

• Design and construct a new visitor center (and associated infrastructure like parking lots) and replace the entry sign. Programming for the visitor center should be based on the results of the Cultural Heritage Tourism Plan and the Long-Range Interpretive Plan.

• Complete the reconstruction of historic building foundations as recommended in the Development Plan and/or identified in the Cultural Heritage Tourism Plan and the Long-Range Interpretive Plan.

• Begin reconstruction of selected historic Fort buildings as recommended in the Development Plan and/or identified in the Cultural Heritage Tourism Plan and the Long-Range Interpretive Plan.
INTRODUCTION

Originally established as a frontier fort by the U.S. Army in 1848, Fort Martin Scott is also linked to the Texas Rangers and was the Braeutigam family farm until 1959 when the City of Fredericksburg (City) purchased it. The site is listed in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and designated a State Antiquities Landmark (SAL). Today, the Fort site is open for self-guided tours. The Fort Martin Scott Advisory Board (Advisory Board) oversees the site on behalf of City Council and the Friends of Fort Martin Scott (Friends) organizes special events and provides volunteer hosts to enhance the visitor experience.

The City, Advisory Board, and Friends identified the need for an updated Master Plan to outline ways to improve the site for both area residents and the approximately 1.5 million visitors to Fredericksburg each year. The City contracted the team of SWCA Environmental Consultants (SWCA) and Asakura Robinson to prepare the Master Plan. The project kickoff meeting took place on May 30, 2018, when the key members of the project team (Principal Investigator Anne Oliver [SWCA], Historic Preservation Specialist Victoria Myers [SWCA], and Landscape Designer/Planner Colter Sonneville [Asakura Robinson]) met with Fort Martin Scott stakeholders, including key City staff, Advisory Board members, and Friends leadership, to discuss their vision for the site (Appendix A). The project team then visited Fort Martin Scott to conduct on-site discussions, assess existing conditions, conduct research in the Friends’ Library, and take photographs to help with the development of the Master Plan.

The Master Plan begins with a historical overview of Fort Martin Scott, an overview of the administering organization, and a discussion of current goals and objectives for the site as articulated in the kickoff meeting. It is then divided into six interconnected area plans. These are the Development Plan, Preservation Plan, Use Plan, Disaster Plan, Interpretive Plan, and Business and Financial Plan. The Master Plan concludes with prioritized recommendations for future planning and actions that will help to realize the goals and objectives for the site. The Master Plan would not have been possible without the passion for and interest in Fort Martin Scott by its stakeholders—most of whom were present at the May 30 meeting and are listed in Appendix A. Special thanks go to Andrea Schmidt, Parks and Recreation Director, who managed the project for the City. She provided logistical support, research assistance, and great insight into the management of the site to the project team, and also coordinated all stakeholder input.

HISTORICAL OVERVIEW

This historical overview is a distillation of previous studies of Fort Martin Scott – including the 1979 NRHP nomination, the 1983 Preservation Plan (Wagner & Klein Architects), the 2003 Conceptual Master Plan (Wagner & Klein Architects), and Joseph Luther’s 2013 work Fort Martin Scott: Guardian of the Treaty. These previous studies focus on the history of the site as a military institution, and are all in agreement with that portion of the narrative of the site. Each note that the site was purchased by the Braeutigam family prior to the City’s acquisition of the site, however, most sources do not expand on the use of the site by the family. Additional information on the Braeutigam Family History, particularly of patriarch Johann Wolfgang Braeutigam, comes from the Braeutigam Family History website.

The German immigrants who founded Fredericksburg in 1846 worked with the Comanche Indians to negotiate a treaty between the groups along the western frontier. Although the treaty promised peace between the groups, continued western expansion led the Texas Legislature to establish a military outpost
in the area for continued security of the settlement. In December 1848, 1st Infantry troops commanded by Captain Seth Eastman arrived in Fredericksburg.

Originally, the camp established by Eastman’s troops was called “Camp Houston” or was referred to by its geographic location near Fredericksburg. In 1849, about a year after Eastman and his troops arrived, Camp Houston was renamed for Martin Scott, a 5th Infantry officer who had died in the battle of Molino del Rey two years earlier. The addition of permanent buildings at the site turned the original camp into a fort, so the new name was officially Fort Martin Scott.

During its time as an active Army establishment, Fort Martin Scott was primarily a commercial center in the rural community and frequently the source of hard currency for the settlers. In 1850, tensions mounted between white settlers and the Native American tribes, and soldiers at Fort Martin Scott assisted in drafting a new treaty—known as the Fort Martin Scott Treaty. Although named for the Fort, the treaty negotiations and signing were held in nearby San Saba County.

Fort Martin Scott was one of seven forts established from 1848–1849 to protect settlers of west Texas. These spanned from Fort Worth on the Trinity River (the northernmost fort) to Fort Duncan near the current town of Eagle Pass on the Rio Grande River (the southernmost fort). In August 1853, the Army conducted an inspection of Fort Martin Scott. The inspection report included a list of the Fort buildings, using letters to identify them on an associated map (Table 1; Figure 1). Also included was a note that the grounds included about 10 acres enclosed with a picket fence surrounding three sides and that the fourth side, bordering the creek, was open (Figure 2).

In December 1853, orders arrived to abandon the Fort as the continued westward expansion of the frontier meant that it was no longer a strategic option for the continued security of settlers. From 1853 until 1866, the Fort was used by the Texas Rangers and the Confederate Army—although both used the site intermittently and there is no record of any significant actions during their time there. The U.S. Army briefly re-established a presence at Fort Martin Scott in 1866 to help secure the frontier from potential Indian attacks; however, by the end of the year, all military units permanently abandoned the site.

In 1870, the Braeutigam family moved into the site. Johann Wolfgang Braeutigam had emigrated from Germany, arriving at Indianola near Victoria in 1845, when the state was still the Republic of Texas. Johann was about 16 at the time and arrived with his parents and siblings. Although exact dates are unknown, Johann moved to New Braunfels before moving to Fredericksburg. In 1850, he married Christine Kensing and they would go on to have nine children. The family lived in town, although like other settlers they had farm land outside of town. In 1870, they purchased the Fort Martin Scott site as well as additional acreage for a farmstead closer to town. Of the original buildings, only the Guard House remained as the others had been salvaged by locals for construction materials. The Braeutigam family converted the Guard House for use as their residence, added agricultural buildings (Figure 3), and constructed a small store and saloon/dance hall, which would be known as Braeutigam Gardens.

In either 1881 or 1883, the family allowed the first Gillespie County Fair to be held on the site, making use of the dance hall as well as the open former quadrangle or parade grounds for the festivities. In 1884, Johann Braeutigam was killed during a robbery at Braeutigam Gardens. The Fort Martin Scott site remained in the family, who continued to run both the Fair and the Garden for several years before closing it and returning to farming. As part of the Texas Centennial in 1936, the state erected a granite marker on the site, which currently sits outside the fence near the west entry.

In 1959, the family sold the property to the City of Fredericksburg and for the first part of the City’s ownership, the site remained abandoned and closed to the public. NRHP listing in 1980 and SAL designation in 1988 spurred preservation and interpretation efforts at the site (Figures 3–5). Work began with the restoration of the Guard House (Building N) in the early 1980s and with the reconstruction of
three buildings—B and E for interpretation and R for a visitor center—and interpretative signage in the 1990s (Figure 6). The site is managed as one of the City’s parks and is open to the public, although buildings are only open when staff is present (Figures 7–11).

**Table 1. 1853 Inspection Report Building Identification, Use, and Materials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Letter</th>
<th>Listed Use</th>
<th>Building Description</th>
<th>Current Use / Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Commanding Officer’s Quarters</td>
<td>Log building, 4 rooms, 15 x 17, porticos in front</td>
<td>Non-extant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Officers’ Quarters</td>
<td>Adobe, 14 x 16, porticos front and rear</td>
<td>Reconstructed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Officers’ Quarters</td>
<td>Weather boarding, 2 rooms, 12 x 14, porticos front and rear</td>
<td>Non-extant, roughly outlined with non-historic foundation stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Officers’ Quarters</td>
<td>Log building, 2 rooms, 12 x 14, porticos front and rear</td>
<td>Non-extant, roughly outlined with non-historic foundation stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Officers’ Quarters</td>
<td>Adobe, 2 rooms, 12 x 14, porticos front and rear</td>
<td>Reconstructed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Officers’ Quarters</td>
<td>Weather boarding, 1 room, 16 x 17, portico in front</td>
<td>Non-extant, roughly outlined with non-historic foundation stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Officers’ Quarters</td>
<td>Adobe, 4 rooms, 14 x 16, basement only finished</td>
<td>Foundation ruins extant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H &amp; J</td>
<td>Butler’s stores (private property)</td>
<td>Built by L. G. Capers</td>
<td>H non-extant; J extant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>Undressed logs, 25 x 12, fast decaying</td>
<td>Non-extant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Laundresses Quarters and a store room</td>
<td>Log, 20 x 14</td>
<td>Non-extant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Bake House</td>
<td>Logs, 20 x 14</td>
<td>Non-extant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Guard House</td>
<td>Stone, 1 officers’ room, 14 x 16, 1 guard room, 14 x 16, 1 light prison, 14 x 16, 4 cells for prisoners, ear 3 x 9, officer’s room now used as magazine</td>
<td>Restored to Fort Period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O &amp; P</td>
<td>Quarters for two companies</td>
<td>Log, each 3 rooms and a single room, 48 X 16</td>
<td>Typically referred to as ‘Enlisted Quarters’, Non-extant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R &amp; S</td>
<td>Quarters for two companies</td>
<td>One log and one jacal, 45 x 16, one used as hay house, other for lumber</td>
<td>Typically referred to as ‘Enlisted Quarters’, R is new construction, not based on historic data, and is used as visitor center; S non-extant, roughly outlined with non-historic foundation stones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Frame building, 35 x 35, containing forage house and 12 stalls</td>
<td>Non-extant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Storehouse</td>
<td>Log, 3 rooms, 20 x 16, and an office, 14 x 16</td>
<td>Non-extant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Blacksmith Shop</td>
<td>Adobe, 14 x 16</td>
<td>Built for interpretive activities, not a reconstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Magazine</td>
<td>Stone, 14 x 14, unfinished, requiring roof and flooring</td>
<td>Non-extant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Site map of Fort Martin Scott based on the 1853 Inspection Report (NRHP 1979)
Figure 2. Conceptual rendering of Fort Martin Scott by G. G. H. Lentz (1963), currently hanging in the Friends of Fort Martin Scott building.

Figure 3. Guard House with additions and alterations made by the Braeutigam family and barn no longer extant, view southwest (NRHP 1979).
Figure 4. Stone foundations of ruins, specific building and view not noted (NRHP 1979).

Figure 5. Detail of stone foundations of ruins, specific building and view not noted (NRHP 1979).
Figure 6. Fort Martin Scott Brochure image showing modern current buildings, sign topics, and walking path overlaid on historic rendering of site.
Figure 7. Fort Martin Scott Historic Site overview, May 2018, view northeast.

Figure 8. Fort Martin Scott Historic Site overview, May 2018, view south.
Figure 9. Fort Martin Scott Historic Site stone foundation, May 2018, view east.

Figure 10. Fort Martin Scott Historic overview, May 2018, view west.
Figure 11. Fort Martin Scott Historic Site Building N – Guard House, May 2018, view northeast.

ORGANIZATION OVERVIEW AND GOALS AND OBJECTIVES FOR USE

Organization Overview

City of Fredericksburg

Currently, the City of Fredericksburg owns and manages the Fort Martin Scott site through the Parks and Recreation Department with recommendations from the Fort Martin Scott Advisory Board and in collaboration with the Friends of Fort Martin Scott. The City has owned the site since 1956, but general management of the site was under the Gillespie County Historical Society until the Advisory Board was created in 2013. Since 2017, the City has had an Historic Preservation Officer (HPO). Although the position has no defined role in the operation or management of the site, the HPO has been active with the Parks and Recreation Director and the Advisory Board on preservation issues and consultation with the Texas Historical Commission (THC) who has an interest in the protection of the site as an SAL.

THC staff are not involved in the regular management of the site—such as routine maintenance, programming, or budgeting—but must be consulted regarding plans at the site that have an impact on the existing historic resources. The City must consult with THC about proposed actions with potential to alter the site (including repair work on existing buildings, new construction such as reconstructed buildings, and ground-disturbing work such as utility lines or sign installation) and obtain a permit in order to proceed with the plans. Even if consultation was not required based on the site’s SAL designation, new construction, reconstruction, and other ground-disturbing work would require THC coordination under the State Antiquities Code. It is highly recommended that THC be brought into the discussion early in the
planning phases for all proposed construction on site to ensure that the final plan meets preservation standards.

Current City officials and staff involved in the management of the site are:

  Linda Langerhans, Mayor
  Charlie Kiehne, Council Member
  Jerry Luckenbach, Council Member
  Tom Nusselman, Council Member
  Gary Neffendorf, Council Member
  Kent Myers, City Manager
  Clinton Bailey, Assistant City Manager/Director of Public Works and Utilities
  Andrea Schmidt, Parks and Recreation Director
  Anna Hudson, Historic Preservation Officer

*Fort Martin Scott Advisory Board*

In 2013, the City created the Fort Martin Scott Advisory Board with the stated purpose of advising the City of Fredericksburg on issues regarding the promotion, development, interpretation, and curation of the site, as well as its use for special events. The board meets quarterly (although this may be reduced to twice per year based on recent discussion) and comprises 12 members representing a cross-section of disciplines and interests related to Fort Martin Scott. Current members of board are:

  Jim McCrae, Board Chairman, retired healthcare executive and historical researcher
  Mike Cox, writer and lay historian
  Duke Davis, musician and Site Manager of the Texas Rangers Heritage Center
  Mike Johnson, retired historic preservation professional
  Joe Kammlah, St. Mary’s School President and Gillespie County Historic Society officer
  Richard Laughlin, home builder and restoration expert
  Ernie Loeffler, Director of the Fredericksburg Convention and Visitor Bureau
  Stephen R. Schmidt, CEO of World Class Quest and Enchanted Springs Ranch
  Marvin Schroeder, Living History Coordinator for the National Museum for the Pacific War
  Steve Thomas, local architect
  Brent Waldoch, Director with the Texas Hill Country Higher Education Development Foundation and past president of the Gillespie County Historical Society
  Barry Wagner, architect

*Friends of Fort Martin Scott*

The Friends of Fort Martin Scott is an affiliated membership organization with the primary function of providing education programming and living history events at the site. It is a 501(c)(3) organization,
A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF FREDERICKSBURG, TEXAS, DESIGNATING FORT MARTIN SCOTT AS A LOCAL HISTORIC LANDMARK.

WHEREAS, as a matter of public policy, the City of Fredericksburg ("City") desires to preserve resources in the City which have historical, cultural, and/or architectural merit, importance, and significance, thereby promoting the prosperity, education, and general welfare of both residents and visitors of Fredericksburg; and

WHEREAS, the City’s Historic Review Board has considered a request for designation of property as a historic landmark, and has made a recommendation to the City Council related to said designation; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has duly considered the recommendations of the City’s Historic Preservation Officer and the City’s Historic Review Board, related to said designation of a historic landmark; and

WHEREAS, the City has provided the property owner a statement that describes the impact that a historic landmark designation may have on the owner and the owner’s property; and

WHEREAS, the City owns the property being considered for designation, and consents to the designation of the property as a historic landmark.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL of the City of Fredericksburg, Texas, that pursuant to Texas Local Government Code Sec. 211.0165 and Sec. 23-58 of the City Code of Ordinances, the City hereby designates Fort Martin Scott as a “Historic Landmark”, the boundaries of said designation being specifically identified on the map attached hereto as Exhibit A.

PASSED AND APPROVED this _____ day of ______________, 20__

__________________________
Mayor

ATTEST:

__________________________
City Secretary
CITY COUNCIL MEMO

DATE: October 13, 2020
TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Jennifer Krupa, Special Events Coordinator
SUBJECT: Moratorium on Special Events and Outdoor Gatherings of 75 or more

Summary:
The expiration date for the current moratorium on special events and outdoor gatherings of 75 or more people is less than two weeks away, on November 1, 2020. In addition, outdoor gatherings of 10 to 75 people are required to submit a written health & safety plan for the mayor to review and approve before moving forward. With the upcoming election, a process to approve outdoor gatherings of 10 to 75 people for the next few weeks or months is needed, unless the moratorium is allowed to expire and no additional restrictions are implemented. Staff is receiving numerous requests for smaller outdoor gatherings in November and December for holiday events.

Recommendation:
City council should decide if the current moratorium will be allowed to expire on November 1, 2020, or, if special events and outdoor gatherings will be allowed with or without restrictions, and, the process to obtain approval to host a special event or outdoor gathering.

Background / Analysis:
On July 20, 2020, city council approved a moratorium for special events on City-owned property effective August 1, 2020 through November 1, 2020, in order to slow the spread of the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) in the community.
In addition to the moratorium on special events, the city council voted to prohibit all other outdoor gatherings, on city property in excess of 75 people from August 1, 2020 through November 1, 2020, other than those gatherings set forth in paragraph numbers 1, 2, or 4, of Executive Order GA-28 (as amended) issued by Governor Greg Abbott. Events over 10 people but under 75 people are required to submit a Health and Safety Plan for the mayor to review and ultimately approve before moving forward.

Attachments:
N/A

[Signatures]
Department Approval
City Attorney Approval
City Manager Approval

The City of Fredericksburg
126 W. Main St. • Fredericksburg, Texas 78624-3708 • (830) 997-7521 • Fax (830) 997-1861
CITY COUNCIL MEMO

DATE: October 13, 2020
TO: Mayor and City Council
FROM: Jennifer Krupa, Special Events Coordinator
SUBJECT: Heritage School's Eisbahn Fundraiser

Summary:

The current moratorium on special events and outdoor gatherings of 75 persons or more is set to expire on November 1, 2020. Eisbahn, a fundraiser for Heritage School is asking for approval to move forward with the ice skating rink using the attached health & safety policy.

Recommendation:

City council should decide if Heritage School can host Eisbahn at Marktplatz from November 2020 to January 2021 following the attached health & safety policy, and if the event will be permitted with or without additional restrictions.

Background / Analysis:

Eisbahn: Eisbahn is Heritage School's yearly winter fundraiser. An ice-skating rink is set-up under Kinder Halle at Marktplatz beginning the first and second weekend in November. Opening day has historically been the day after Thanksgiving to coincide with the Christmas Tree & German Pyramid Lighting. Heritage School is moving forward with plans to host Eisbahn this year and has included increased sanitizing practices and limiting capacity on the ice rink as part of their health & safety plan. The school needs a final decision by October 22, as the vendor who provides the chiller for the ice needs three (3) weeks-notice for set-up.

The City of Fredericksburg

126 W. Main St. • Fredericksburg, Texas 78624-3708 • (830) 997-7521 • Fax (830) 997-1861
One hundred percent (100%) of the families at Heritage School volunteer to work Eisbahn. A portion of the proceeds from Eisbahn is donated to two local charities. In addition, Eisbahn contributes to needs-based tuition assistance for qualifying families at Heritage School.

Attachments:

Eisbahn Health & Safety Policy

______________________________
Andrew Schmidt
Department Approval

______________________________
City Manager Approval

______________________________
City Attorney Approval

The City of Fredericksburg

126 W. Main St. • Fredericksburg, Texas 78624-3708 • (830) 997-7521 • Fax (830) 997-1861
Covid-19 Health and Safety Policy

Policy: Since the virus causing Covid-19 can be spread to others by infected persons who have few or no symptoms, it is the policy of Eisbahn that all employees, volunteers and visitors must abide by the following:

FACE COVERINGS:

1. Face coverings are required to be worn by all employees, volunteers and any individuals entering the premises. There are no exceptions to this policy.

2. Furthermore, face coverings must be worn appropriately: both the mouth and nose must be covered and the covering is never to be removed or the position altered on the face while on the premises. The exceptions to this will be for people who become incapacitated, unconscious, or who suffer from some acute difficulty in breathing. If you have difficulty breathing at the onset of your visit, and cannot wear a mask, we ask that you refrain from entering.

SOCIAL DISTANCING:

1. Although evidence indicates that the use of face coverings is effective to help prevent the spread of Covid-19, we require employees, volunteers and patrons of Eisbahn to maintain a distance of at least 6ft between one another when at all possible.

2. Patrons who form a line should separate from one another by at least 6 feet. We will provide spacing signs on the ground where lines form

3. City of Fredericksburg occupancy calculations for Mercantile Retail area allows 30 sq ft per person. Kinderhalle pavilion at Marktplatz is 35'x90'. Rink size is approximately 25'x80', which would allow for 66 skaters at 100% capacity. Eisbahn will limit rink occupancy per state requirements. If state occupancy limit is 50%, rink occupancy will be limited to 33 skaters, etc.

4. Kinderhalle picnic tables will be spaced 6ft apart.

CONCESSIONS: Concessions will be limited to pre-packaged items. There will be no pizza by the slice or coffee/hot cocoa by the cup offered for sale.

CLEANING: Skates will be sanitized after every use as always. Tables and common surfaces will be cleaned and sanitized at least twice daily. Hand sanitizer will be placed on all picnic tables, in front of the skate trailer and in front of the concessions trailer.

VIOLATIONS: Patrons who do not wear a face covering will be asked to leave the premises.

TEMPERATURE MONITORING:

All employees, volunteers and visitors to our facility on Marktplatz must report to the skate trailer to have their temperature monitored.
1. Any employee, volunteer or visitor to Eisbahn with a temperature of greater than or equal to 100.4°F will be asked to leave the facility and may not return until they have passed 72 hours fever free.
Future Agenda Items
City Council

November 2, 2020
Regular Meeting

City Council = Red
2nd and 16th Regular Meetings @ 6p.m.

Special Mtgs 9th @ TBA
Planning & Zoning = Green 11-11-2020 @ 5:30 p.m.
Historic Review Board = Purple 11-4-2020 @ 5:30 p.m.
Board of Adjustment = Blue 11-12-2020 @ 5:30 p.m.
City Events = Yellow
November 3, 2020 Election Day (voting at your Precinct)

Proclamation

Consent
1. 10-5-2020 City Council Regular Meeting Minutes
2. 10-19-2020 City Council Regular Meeting Minutes

Ordinances

Resolutions

Public Hearing
1. 2nd public hearing- Milam & Austin All-Way Stops (possible)

Approvals-Misc.
1. Milam & Austin All-Way Stops (possible)
2. Approval of the 175th Anniversary Banner
3. Reclaimed Water Agreement with the Frieden Development

Presentations, Discussions and Updates:
1. COVID-19 Update

Executive Session
November 16, 2020
Regular Meeting

City Council = Red
2nd and 16th Regular Meetings @ 6p.m.

Special Mtgs 9th @ TBA
Planning & Zoning = Green 11-11-2020 @ 5:30 p.m.
Historic Review Board = Purple 11-4-2020 @ 5:30 p.m.
Board of Adjustment = Blue 11-12-2020 @ 5:30 p.m.

City Events = Yellow
November 3, 2020 Election Day (voting at your Precinct)

Proclamation

Consent
1. 11-2-2020 City Council Regular Meeting Minutes

Ordinances
1. Amendment to Zoning Ordinance for definition of STR’s in R-1 Districts
2. PUD and Zoning Change at 202 E. Ufer Street (Ken Carr)

Resolutions

Public Hearing
1. CUP 257 W. Main Street for a standardized business (Justin Cop)
2. CUP, PUD and Zoning Change at 202 E. Ufer Street (Ken Carr)
3. Amendment to Zoning Ordinance for definition of STR’s in R-1 Districts

Approvals-Misc.
1. CUP 257 W. Main Street for a standardized business (Justin Cop)
2. CUP at 202 E. Ufer Street (Ken Carr)

Presentations, Discussions and Updates:
1. COVID-19 Update

Executive Session